arXiv:1409.7319v2 [math.AG] 16 Oct 2014

HOLOMORPHICALLY EQUIVALENT ALGEBRAIC EMBEDDINGS

PETER FELLER AND IMMANUEL STAMPFLI

Abstract. We prove that two algebraic embeddings of a smooth variety X in $\mathbb{C}^m$ are the same up to a holomorphic coordinate change, provided that $2\dim X + 1$ is smaller than or equal to m. This improves an algebraic result of Nori and Srinivas. For the proof we extend a technique of Kaliman using generic linear projections of $\mathbb{C}^m$.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study algebraic embeddings of smooth algebraic varieties (defined over $\mathbb{C}$) in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Given two embeddings f and g of an algebraic variety X in $\mathbb{C}^m$ it is natural to ask whether or not the two embeddings are *algebraically equivalent*, i.e. whether or not there exists an algebraic automorphism ϕ of $\mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\phi \circ f = g$. Only in special cases a full answer is known. Abhyankar, Moh and Suzuki established that any two algebraic embeddings of $\mathbb{C}$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ are algebraically equivalent [AM75][Suz74]. Nori showed that any two algebraic embeddings of a smooth variety X in $\mathbb{C}^m$ are algebraically equivalent as long as the following dimension condition holds: $2\dim X + 2 \leqslant m$. This unpublished work of Nori was generalized by Srinivas [Sri91]. In particular, for embeddings of $\mathbb{C}$ in $\mathbb{C}^m$, the only unknown case is when m equals 3. In fact, there are several examples of embeddings of $\mathbb{C}$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$ that are conjectured to not be algebraically equivalent to the standard embedding; for example, Shastri suggests examples coming from a knot-theoretic point of view [Sha92]. For an overview of these embedding problems and how they connect to the Cancallation Problem, the Linearization Problem, and the Jacobian Conjecture in affine geometry, see Kraft and van den Essen [Kra96][vdE04].

Relaxing the condition of equivalence to the holomorphic setting, Kaliman proved that any two algebraic embeddings f and g of $\mathbb{C}$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$ are *holomorphically equivalent*, i.e. there exists a holomorphic automorphism (that is a biholomorphic self-map) ϕ of $\mathbb{C}^3$ such that $\phi \circ f = g$ [Kal92]. In this article we generalize this to the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a smooth algebraic variety defined over $\mathbb{C}$ and $m \geqslant 2$ an integer. If $2\dim X + 1 \leqslant m$, then any two algebraic embeddings of X in $\mathbb{C}^m$ are holomorphically equivalent.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14R10, 32M17, 14J50.

The authors gratefully acknowledge support by the Swiss National Science Foundation Grants "Positive Braids and Deformations" 155477 and "Automorphisms of Affine Algebraic Varieties" 148627.

Recently, it was pointed out to the authors that this Theorem is already proved by Kaliman [Kal13, Theorem 0.1].

Remark 1.2. It will be clear from our proof of Theorem 1.1 that the automorphism realizing the holomorphic equivalence can be chosen to be a product of linear maps, and holomorphic shears and overshears.

Theorem 1.1 can be seen as a one-dimensional improvement of Nori's result under a relaxed, holomorphic equivalence condition. As a special case we have that any embedding of $\mathbb{C}^n$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ is holomorphically equivalent to the standard embedding of $\mathbb{C}^n$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ given by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots, 0)\,.$$

As another corollary of Theorem 1.1, any two algebraic embeddings of a smooth curve in $\mathbb{C}^3$ are holomorphically equivalent. In contrast to this, almost nothing is known about the algebraic equivalence of two embeddings of a smooth curve in $\mathbb{C}^3$. Even if you are given two embeddings of a smooth curve in $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$, which are seemingly simple embeddings from a three-dimensional point of view, it is in general not known whether or not they are algebraically equivalent in $\mathbb{C}^3$; compare Bhatwadekar and Roy [BR91], where this question is asked in general and resolved for rational smooth curves. Note that, while $\mathbb{C}$ has only one algebraic embedding in $\mathbb{C}^2$ up to algebraic equivalence, other smooth curves allow a lot of algebraically non-equivalent embeddings into $\mathbb{C}^2$. For example, this is the case for algebraic embeddings of $\mathbb{C}^*$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$; a partial classification can be found in [CNKR09] and a full classification is announced in [KPR14]. In fact, these algebraic embeddings are often also holomorphically non-equivalent. Indeed, the embeddings $f_{p,q}\colon \mathbb{C}^* \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ given by $x \mapsto (x^p, x^{-q})$ for coprime positive integers $2 \leqslant p < q$ are pairwise holomorphically non-equivalent. The latter follows from the fact that these embeddings have different links at infinity, see Neumann [Neu89].

We note that the existence of holomorphically non-equivalent algebraic embeddings of $\mathbb{C}^*$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ shows that the dimension condition in Theorem 1.1 cannot be improved if $\dim X = 1$. To our knowledge, for $\dim X > 1$ there are no holomorphically non-equivalent algebraic embeddings of a n-dimensional variety in $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ known, and so it remains an interesting question whether or not the dimension condition in Theorem 1.1 can be improved.

We remark that from the holomorphic point of view it might seem natural to study holomorphic (rather than algebraic) embeddings up to holomorphic equivalence. However, in this setting the analogues of Theorem 1.1 and Nori's result are known to be wrong. In fact, whenever $1 \leqslant n < m$ there exist holomorphic embeddings of $\mathbb{C}^n$ in $\mathbb{C}^m$ that are not holomorphically equivalent to the standard embedding (see Forstnerič [For99, Corollary 6.2]). This makes the proof of Theorem 1.1 subtle: we use a result that yields holomorphic not algebraic equivalence, see Proposition 2.1; however, the projection results we use only hold for algebraic (rather than holomorphic) embeddings, compare Remark 3.10.

We conclude the introduction with a short explanation on how the proof of Theorem 1.1 works and how the paper is structured. We first recall the following projection technique that Nori's result is based on. Let f and

g be two algebraic embeddings of a smooth variety X in $\mathbb{C}^m$ and assume $2\dim X + 2 \leqslant m$. Srinivas (following Nori) shows that there exists a linear coordinate change of $\mathbb{C}^m$ such that any combination of $m-1$ coordinate functions coming from f or g yields an embedding from X into $\mathbb{C}^{m-1}$[Sri91, Theorem 1']. This can be used to construct $m+1$ algebraically equivalent embeddings of X by successively replacing the coordinate functions of f with coordinate functions of g; thus, proving the algebraic equivalence of f and g. Roughly, if $m = 2\dim X + 1$, the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to replace the condition that any combination of $m-1$ coordinate functions of f and g is an embedding by the weaker condition that this map is a proper immersion and its image has only transversal double points; and then essentially to use an idea of Kaliman, with which he proved that any two algebraic embeddings of $\mathbb{C}$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$ are holomorphically equivalent. We outline the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 2. Section 3 contains the main technical work of this paper. There we provide results concerning generic projections, which deal with the issues that arise from working in one dimension lower. Finally, we combine these technical results to yield the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 4.

2. Outline of the proof

Let f, g be two embeddings of a smooth n-dimensional variety X in $\mathbb{C}^m$ with $m \geqslant 2n+1$ and $n > 0$. We want to show that f and g are holomorphically equivalent. We only consider the case $m = 2n+1$ as otherwise the result follows from Nori's work. Moreover, we can and will assume that every connected component of X has dimension n, see Lemma A.1 in the Appendix.

We will inductively find a sequence $f_0 = f$, f_1, $\dots$, f_{2n}, $f_{2n+1} = g$ of m algebraic embeddings such that two consecutive embeddings are holomorphically equivalent. Concretely, for some $0 \leqslant l < 2n+1$, assume that f_l and a linear projection $r_l \colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^l$ have been constructed such that the last l coordinate functions of f_l equal $r_l \circ g$. The crucial step is to construct an algebraic embedding $f_{l+1} \colon X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ that is holomorphically equivalent to f_l and a linear projection $r_{l+1} \colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{l+1}$ such that the last $l+1$ coordinate functions of f_{l+1} equal $r_{l+1} \circ g$. From this, one gets the desired sequence of embeddings.

In the sequel we describe this crucial step in more detail. For this purpose we introduce some terminology. Let $h \colon X \to \mathbb{C}^k$ be a holomorphic map. A pair (x, y) in $X \times X$ with $x \neq y$ is called a *double point of* h, if $h(x) = h(y)$. The double point is called *transversal*, if the images of the differentials $D_x h$ and $D_y h$ span the whole tangent space of $\mathbb{C}^k$ in $h(x) = h(y)$. If all double points of h are transversal, we say that h is *transversal*. If in addition every fiber of h has at most j elements, then we say it is *j-transversal*.

The following proposition is the only holomorphic ingredient in our proof.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f \colon X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ be an algebraic embedding of a smooth n-dimensional variety and let $p \colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be a linear projection such that $p \circ f$ is proper, 2-transversal and immersive. If $d \colon X \to \mathbb{C}$ is an algebraic*

function such that $d(s_1) \neq d(s_2)$ for all double points (s_1, s_2) of $p \circ f$, then

$$X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}\,,\ x \mapsto (p \circ f(x), d(x))$$

is an algebraic embedding that is holomorphically equivalent to f.

This proposition generalizes an idea of Kaliman, see [Kal92, Theorem 6] and its proof.

Remark 2.2. It is worth mentioning that the proof of Proposition 2.1 carries over to a holomorphic setting, i.e. when f and d are holomorphic rather than algebraic maps. To see this, in the proof below one only needs to replace all algebraic maps by holomorphic ones and to notice that the image of the double points of $p \circ f$ is a discrete closed subset of $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Let $h\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a linear function such that $f = (p \circ f, h)$. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be the finite set of points $s \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ such that $(p \circ f)^{-1}(s) = \{s_1, s_2\}$ with $s_1 \neq s_2$. For every s in S, it holds that $h(s_1) \neq h(s_2)$ since f is an embedding. Thus, we can choose a number $a_s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$d(s_1) - e^{a_s} h(s_1) = d(s_2) - e^{a_s} h(s_2)\,.$$

Let $\psi_1\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n} \to \mathbb{C}$ be an algebraic function such that for all $s \in S$ we have $\psi_1(s) = a_s$ and let α_1 be the holomorphic automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\alpha_1(w, \lambda) = (w, e^{\psi_1(w)}\lambda)$. By composing f with α_1, we can assume that $d(s_1) - h(s_1) = d(s_2) - h(s_2)$ for all $s \in S$. Note that in general h is no longer algebraic. However, it is holomorphic. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be the image of $p \circ f\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. By Remmert's proper mapping theorem [Rem57, Satz 23], Γ is a closed (analytic) subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Now, using that $p \circ f$ is immersive and 2-transversal, we get a holomorphic factorization



Using Cartan's Theorem B [Car53, Théorème B], we can extend e to a holomorphic map $\psi_2\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n} \to \mathbb{C}$. Let α_2 be the holomorphic automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\alpha_2(w, \lambda) = (w, \lambda + \psi_2(w))$. Thus, $\alpha_2 \circ f(x) = (p \circ f(x), d(x))$ and this map is algebraic. □

Proposition 2.1 is used in the crucial step as follows. We show the existence of a linear projection $p\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ such that $p \circ f_l$ is proper, 2-transversal, and immersive, and such that the last l coordinate functions of $p \circ f_l$ and f_l are the same; this constitutes the main technical work of our proof. In fact, to find p we have to compose f_l with an algebraic automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ that fixes the last l coordinates. Then we construct a surjective linear function $e\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $e \circ g$ distinguishes the double points of $p \circ f_l$. Now, Proposition 2.1 implies that

$$f_{l+1} = (p \circ f_l, e \circ g)\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}$$

is holomorphically equivalent to f_l. If we define $r_{l+1}\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^l \times \mathbb{C}$ by $r_{l+1}(z) = (r_l(z), e(z))$, then the last $l+1$ coordinate functions of f_{l+1} equal $r_{l+1} \circ g$.

Of course, the proof of our main theorem cannot carry over to a holomorphic setting by the existence of non-equivalent holomorphic embeddings of $\mathbb{C}^n$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$. While Proposition 2.1 remains true in the holomorphic setting (see Remark 2.2), a serious issue is that for generic linear projections $p\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ the map $p \circ f\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ need not be proper if $f\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ is a holomorphic embedding (see Remark 3.10).

In Section 4 we provide the details of the proof, based on several projection results.

3. Generic projection results

In this section we present projection results that are needed for our proof of Theorem 1.1. More precisely, they are needed to guarantee that certain projections behave well in the following sense.

Definition 3.1. Let $f\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ be an embedding. A surjective linear map $p\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is called a *good projection* for f, if the composition $p \circ f$ is proper, immersive and 2-transversal.

The moral of this section is, that generic projections are good projections and that this holds in various relative settings. Before we start with these results, let us set up some terminology and notation.

Terminology and notation. Let Z be a variety. We say that a statement is true for *generic* $z \in Z$ if there exists a dense Zariski open subset $U \subseteq Z$ such that the statement is true for all $z \in U$. Maps between algebraic varieties are understood to be algebric morphisms if not stated otherwise.

Let $0 \leqslant l \leqslant m$ be integers. We denote the m-dimensional projective space by $\mathbb{P}^m$ and the Grassmannian of l-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^m$ by Gr_l^m. The map $p_l\colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^l$ denotes the standard projection to the last l coordinates and $\mathbb{P}^{m-l} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$ denotes the linear projective subspace given by setting the last l projective coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^m$ to zero. If $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$ is a linear subspace, then $p_V\colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^m/V$ denotes the canonical linear projection. For $v \in \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$, note that $p_l\colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^l$ factors through $p_v\colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^m/v$ if and only if $v \in \mathbb{P}^{m-1-l}$.

In a first subsection, we gather results concerning transversal maps. Afterwards, we divide the projection results into three subsections corresponding to the three properties of a good projection.

Let us give a flavour of the type of results we are looking for. Consider an algebraic embedding $f\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ of a smooth n-dimensional variety X. We prove that for generic $v \in \mathbb{P}^{2n}$, the map $p_v\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}/v{=}\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is a good projection for f. This is done in Corollary 3.8, Remark 3.13 and Lemma 3.14. Now, as mentioned in the outline, for the inductive construction of the embedding f_{l+1} from f_l we need good projections p_v for f_l that "remember" the last l coordinates, i.e. the projection $p_l\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^l$ factors through p_v. In other words, we would like for generic $v \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$ that p_v is a good projection for f_l (where we view $\mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$ as a linear projective subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{2n}$). Of course, this is only possible under certain restrictions on the projection to the last l coordinate functions $p_l \circ f\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^l$. These results are the content of Corollary 3.8, Lemma 3.12, Lemma 3.14 and Lemma 3.15.

3.1. **Transversal maps.** Throughout this subsection we fix a closed smooth n-dimensional subvariety $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$. For any map $h\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^k$, we consider the map

$$\psi_h\colon X^2 \to \mathbb{C}^k\,,\ (x,y) \mapsto h(y) - h(x)$$

and its composition with the canonical projection $\pi\colon \mathbb{C}^k\backslash\{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$

$$\overline{\psi}_h = \pi \circ \psi_h\colon X^2\backslash\psi_h^{-1}(0) \to \mathbb{P}^{k-1}\,.$$

When h is the fixed embedding $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$, we denote ψ_h by ψ and $\overline{\psi}_h$ by $\overline{\psi}$.

Lemma 3.2. *If $h\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^k$ is transversal, then $p_v \circ h$ is transversal for generic $v \in \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$. In fact, $p_v \circ h$ is transversal if and only if v is a regular value of $\overline{\psi}_h$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Let $x \neq y$ in X such that $h(x) = h(y)$. By assumption, (x,y) is then a transversal double point of h and thus also of $p_v \circ f$, for all $v \in \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$.

Now, fix v in $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}$ and let $p = p_v\colon \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}^k/v$. One sees immediately that for $x, y \in X$ with $h(x) \neq h(y)$, having the same image under $p \circ h$ is equivalent to $\overline{\psi}_h(x,y) = v$. As for a given $w \in \mathbb{C}^k\backslash\{0\}$ with $w \in v$, we have $\ker(D_w\pi) = v$, the differentials $D_w\pi$ and $D_w p = p$ are the same up to a linear isomorphism between the targets. Thus, for $x, y \in X$ with $0 \neq h(y) - h(x) \in v$ the differentials at (x,y) of

$$\overline{\psi}_h\colon X^2\backslash\psi_h^{-1}(0) \to \mathbb{P}^{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad p \circ \psi_h\colon X^2\backslash\psi_h^{-1}(0) \to \mathbb{C}^k$$

have the same rank. Therefore, the statement follows from the fact that the image of $D_{(x,y)}(p \circ \psi_h)$ is $\operatorname{im} D_x(p \circ h) + \operatorname{im} D_y(p \circ h)$. □

Remark 3.3. After applying an algebraic automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ to X, one can assume that $\overline{\psi}$ is dominant. This is seen as follows. We fix some $x \neq y$ in X and study the linear span V_X of $x - y$, T_xX and T_yX inside $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$. Note that $V_X = \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ if and only if (x,y) is a regular point of $\overline{\psi}$, by the proof of Lemma 3.2. Therefore, it suffices to find an algebraic automorphism φ of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ such that $\varphi(x) = x$, $\varphi(y) = y$ and $V_{\varphi(X)} = \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$. However, such a φ exists since one can prescribe the derivative of an algebraic automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ in finitely many fixed points, see Forstnerič [For99, Corollary 2.3] (citing Buzzard [Buz98]). In fact, in the case we need, φ can be chosen to be a composition of algebraic shears and linear maps. For completeness, we provide a suitable version of this result in the Appendix, see Lemma A.2.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $0 \leqslant l \leqslant 2n$ be an integer. If $p_l|_X$ is transversal, then $p_v|_X$ is transversal for generic $v \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2n}$.*

Proof. Denote by Δ the diagonal in X^2. Let $C_{2n-l} \subseteq X^2\backslash\Delta$ be the preimage of $\mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$ under $\overline{\psi}$ and denote the restriction of $\overline{\psi}$ to C_{2n-l} by

$$\overline{\psi}' = \overline{\psi}|_{C_{2n-l}}\colon C_{2n-l} \to \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}\,.$$

For $(x,y) \in C_{2n-l}$, consider the following linear map

$$\nu_{(x,y)}\colon T_{(x,y)}X^2\backslash\Delta \xrightarrow{D_{(x,y)}\overline{\psi}} T_{\overline{\psi}(x,y)}\mathbb{P}^{2n} \longrightarrow T_{\overline{\psi}(x,y)}\mathbb{P}^{2n}/T_{\overline{\psi}(x,y)}\mathbb{P}^{2n-l} = \mathbb{C}^l\,.$$

For generic $v \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$, the differential $D_{(x,y)}\overline{\psi}'$ has full rank for all $(x,y) \in (\overline{\psi}')^{-1}(v)$ by an algebraic geometry version of Sards theorem[1]. Thus, by the second part of Lemma 3.2, it is enough to show that $\nu_{(x,y)}$ is surjective for all $(x,y) \in C_{2n-l}$. Let $V_i \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$ be the open affine subset of points such that the i-th coordinate does not vanish and let us denote by $h_i \colon X \to \mathbb{C}$ the i-th coordinate function of the embedding $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$. A calculation shows that for $(x,y) \in (\overline{\psi})^{-1}(V_i)$ the linear map $\nu_{(x,y)}$ is given by

$$T_{(x,y)}X^2\backslash\Delta \to \mathbb{C}^l\,,\ (w_1,w_2) \mapsto \frac{1}{h_i(y)-h_i(x)}\big((D_y p_l|_X)w_2 - (D_x p_l|_X)w_1\big)\,.$$

As by assumption $p_l|_X$ is transversal, it follows for all $(x,y) \in X^2\backslash\Delta$ that

$$T_{(x,y)}X^2\backslash\Delta \to \mathbb{C}^l\,,\ (w_1,w_2) \mapsto (D_y p_l|_X)w_2 - (D_x p_l|_X)w_1$$

is surjective. This implies that $\nu_{(x,y)}$ is surjective for all $(x,y) \in (\overline{\psi})^{-1}(V_i)$. □

The next lemma generalizes Lemma 3.2. It will be formulated in terms of flags. Denote by F_{2n+1} the flag variety of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$, i.e. the closed subvariety of the following product of Grassmannians

$$\mathrm{Gr}_0^{2n+1} \times \mathrm{Gr}_1^{2n+1} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Gr}_{2n+1}^{2n+1}$$

given by the condition that $(V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_{2n+1})$ satisfies $V_i \subseteq V_{i+1}$. Let us view GL_{2n+1} as an open subset of $(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})^{2n+1}$ and let $\eta \colon \mathrm{GL}_{2n+1} \to \mathrm{F}_{2n+1}$ be given by

$$\eta(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2n+1}) = (0, [v_1], [v_1, v_2], \ldots, [v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2n+1}])$$

where $[v_1, \ldots, v_i]$ denotes the linear span of $v_1, \ldots, v_i$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$. Consider the $(2n+1)$-fold product of the map $\psi \colon X^2 \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$

$$\phi = \psi \times \cdots \times \psi \colon (X^2)^{2n+1} \to (\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})^{2n+1}$$

and let $S = \phi^{-1}(\mathrm{GL}_{2n+1}) \subseteq (X^2)^{2n+1}$. We define $\overline{\phi} \colon (X^2)^{2n+1} \dashrightarrow \mathrm{F}_{2n+1}$ as the rational map $\eta \circ \phi \colon (X^2)^{2n+1} \dashrightarrow \mathrm{F}_{2n+1}$. Note that S is the set where $\overline{\phi}$ is defined.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $s = ((x_1,y_1), \ldots, (x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) \in S$ and let the flag $(0 \subset W_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ be the image of s under $\overline{\phi}$. Then, s is a regular point of $\overline{\phi}$ if and only if (x_i, y_i) is a transversal double point of $p_{W_i}|_X$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2n+1$.*

Proof. Let $a = \phi(s) \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n+1}$. We claim that the differential

$$D_a\eta \colon T_a \mathrm{GL}_{2n+1} \to T_{\eta(a)} \mathrm{F}_{2n+1}$$

is the same as the projection

$$p_{W_1} \times \cdots \times p_{W_{2n+1}} \colon (\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}/W_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}/W_{2n+1}$$

(up to a linear isomorphism of the targets). Hence, s is a regular point of $\overline{\phi}$ if and only if (x_i, y_i) is a regular point of the map $p_{W_i} \circ \psi \colon X^2 \to \mathbb{C}^m/W_i$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2n+1$. This finishes the proof since (x,y) is a regular point of $p_{W_i} \circ \psi$ if and only if (x,y) is a transversal double point of $p_{W_i}|_X$.

[1]For lack of reference, we provide the needed version of Sard's theorem in the Appendix, see Lemma A.3.

It remains to prove that $D_a\eta$ and $p_{W_1} \times \cdots \times p_{W_{2n+1}}$ are the same as claimed above. Noting that $T_{\eta(a)}\,\mathrm{F}_{2n+1}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}/W_1 \times \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}/W_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}/W_{2n+1}$ have the same dimension, we have to show that $D_a\eta$ and $p_{W_1} \times \cdots \times p_{W_{2n+1}}$ have the same kernel, i.e.

$$\ker D_a\eta = W_1 \times W_2 \times \cdots \times W_{2n+1} \subset (\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})^{2n+1}.$$

Let $\varphi_a\colon \mathrm{GL}_{2n+1} \to \mathrm{GL}_{2n+1}$ be given by $\varphi_a(h) = ah$. Then, the differential $D_e\varphi_a$ identifies with $\mathrm{Mat}_{2n+1} \to \mathrm{Mat}_{2n+1}$, $M \mapsto aM$. Let $B \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{2n+1}$ be the subgroup of upper triangular matrices. Viewing the flag variety F_{2n+1} as the quotient of GL_{2n+1} by right multiplication with B, the map η identifies with the quotient map. Clearly, $\ker D_e\eta \subset \mathrm{Mat}_{2n+1}$ are the upper triangular matrices. Thus, $\ker D_a\eta = D_e\varphi_a(\ker D_e\eta) \subset \mathrm{Mat}_{2n+1}$ consists of those matrices M such that $a^{-1}M$ is upper triangular, i.e. by letting $m = 2n+1$ and $a = (a_1 \ \cdots \ a_m)$

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & a_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & b_{mm} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} b_{11}a_1 & b_{12}a_1 + b_{22}a_2 & \cdots & b_{1m}a_1 + \ldots + b_{mm}a_m \end{pmatrix} \\ &\in W_1 \times W_2 \times \cdots \times W_m\,. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

Remark 3.6. After applying an algebraic automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ to X, one can assume that $\overline{\phi}\colon (X^2)^{2n+1} \dashrightarrow \mathrm{F}_{2n+1}$ is dominant. This is seen as follows. By Remark 3.3, we can assume that $\overline{\psi}$ is dominant. This allows us to pick a basis $(v_1, \ldots, v_{2n+1})$ of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ such that for all i we have that $[v_i] \in \mathbb{P}^{2n}$ is a regular value of $\overline{\psi}$ and it is the image of some $(x_i, y_i) \in X^2$ under $\overline{\psi}$. Then $((x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}))$ is a regular point of $\overline{\phi}$, and so the statement follows.

As a consequence of Lemma 3.5 and Remark 3.6 we get the following.

Corollary 3.7. *After applying an algebraic automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ to X, the maps $p_{W_1}|_X$, …, $p_{W_{2n}}|_X$ are transversal for generic flags $(0 \subset W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_{2n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ in F_{2n+1}.*

3.2. **Proper maps.** Let $1 \leqslant k < m$ be integers and let $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$ be a closed subvariety. We consider the following subset of the Grassmannian Gr_k^m

$$\omega_k(X) = \{V \in \mathrm{Gr}_k^m \mid \exists\, \{x^j\} \subseteq X\,,\ \lim_{j\to\infty} \pi(x^j) \subseteq V\,,\ |x^j| \to \infty\}\,.$$

where $\pi\colon \mathbb{C}^m\backslash\{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$ is the canonical projeciton. In the case $k = 1$, $\omega_1(X)$ is a closed analytic subset of $\mathbb{P}^{m-1} = \mathrm{Gr}_1^m$ by [Chi89, Corollary 5.1] and thus it is Zariski closed by Chow's Theorem [Cho49, Theorem V]). Moreover, $\dim \omega_1(X) < \dim X$ and $p_v|_X\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^m/v$ is proper for all $v \in \mathbb{P}^{m-1}\backslash\omega_1(X)$ by [For11, Lemma 4.11.2]. This yields the following.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $m = 2n+1$ and let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ be n-dimensional. If $0 \leqslant l \leqslant n$, then $p_v|_X$ is proper for generic $v \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2n}$.*

For arbitrary k this generalizes to the following.

Lemma 3.9. *If $1 \leqslant k < m$, then $\dim \omega_k(X) < \dim \mathrm{Gr}_k^m - (m-k) + \dim X$ and $p_V|_X \colon X \to \mathbb{C}^m/V$ is proper for all $V \in \mathrm{Gr}_k^m \setminus \omega_k(X)$.*

Proof. Let $E = \{\, (W, V) \in \omega_1(X) \times \mathrm{Gr}_k^m \mid W \subseteq V \,\}$. Note that $\omega_k(X)$ is the image of the projection $E \to \mathrm{Gr}_k^m$ to the second factor. Projection to the first factor $E \to \omega_1(X)$ turns E into a fiber bundle with fiber-dimension $(m-k)(k-1) = \dim \mathrm{Gr}_k^m - (m-k)$. This implies the dimension inequality. Let $V \in \mathrm{Gr}_k^m$. If $p_V|_X$ is not proper, then there exists a sequence $\{x^j\} \subseteq X$, such that $|x^j| \to \infty$ and $p_V(x^j)$ is bounded. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\lim \pi(x^j) \in \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$ exists. However, this implies $\lim \pi(x^j) \subseteq V$ and thus $V \in \omega_k(X)$. □

Remark 3.10. In a holomorphic setting Lemma 3.9 and Corollary 3.8 do not hold. Indeed, if X is an analytic subvariety (rather than an algebraic subvariety), then the dimension of $\omega_1(X)$ is no longer strictly smaller than the dimension of X (compare the comments before [For11, Lemma 4.11.2]). For example, for

$$X = \{\, (t, e^t) \mid t \in \mathbb{C} \,\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2,$$

the subset $\omega_1(X) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$ is equal to $\mathbb{P}^1$. More generally, there are holomorphic embeddings $f \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^m$ for any $m > 1$ such that $\omega_1(f(X)) = \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$ [FGR96, Proposition 2].

3.3. **Immersive maps.** Fix a closed smooth n-dimensional subvariety $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$. For any map $h \colon X \to \mathbb{C}^k$ and any integer $0 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, we denote

$$X_i = X_i(h) = \{\, x \in X \mid \operatorname{rank} D_x h = i \,\}.$$

Hence, $\bigcup_{i=0}^k X_i$ is a partition of X where every X_i is locally closed in X.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2n$ and let $0 \leqslant i < n$. Then for generic $V \in \mathrm{Gr}_k^{2n+1}$ we have $\dim X_i(p_V|_X) \leqslant k + i - n - 1$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.11. We can assume that $n - i \leqslant k \leqslant 2n + 1 - i$, as otherwise $X_i(p_V|_X)$ would be empty. We denote by $\mathrm{Gr}_j(TX)$ the bundle over X of j-dimensional linear subspaces of the tangent spaces of X. Let

$$E = \{\, (W, V) \in \mathrm{Gr}_{n-i}(TX) \times \mathrm{Gr}_k^{2n+1} \mid W \subseteq V \,\}$$

and let E_V be the fiber over $V \in \mathrm{Gr}_k^{2n+1}$ under the projection onto the second factor $E \to \mathrm{Gr}_k^{2n+1}$. The projection $E \to \mathrm{Gr}_{n-i}(TX) \to X$ yields a surjective map

$$E_V \to \{\, x \in X \mid \dim T_x X \cap V \geqslant n - i \,\}.$$

This implies $\dim X_i(p_V|_X) \leqslant \dim E_V$. Projection to the first factor turns E into a fiber bundle over $\mathrm{Gr}_{n-i}(TX)$ with fiber-dimension $(2n + 1 - k)(k - n + i)$. Thus, we have

$$\dim E = (2n + 1 - k)k + (n - i)(k + i - 2n - 1) + n\,.$$

Hence, either E_V is empty for generic $V \in \mathrm{Gr}_k^{2n+1}$ or we have

$$\dim E_V = (n - i)(k + i - 2n - 1) + n \geqslant 0 \text{ for generic } V \in \mathrm{Gr}_k^{2n+1}\,.$$

Since $k \leqslant 2n+1-i$ and $i < n$, we get $(n-i)(k+i-2n-1)+n \leqslant k+i-n-1$. This implies the result. □

Lemma 3.12. *Let $0 \leqslant l \leqslant 2n$. If $\dim X_i(p_l|_X) \leqslant n+i-l$ for all $0 \leqslant i < n$, then $p_v|_X$ is immersive for generic $v \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2n}$.*

Remark 3.13. In particular, $p_v|_X$ is an immersion for generic $v \in \mathbb{P}^{2n}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.12. Let $v \in \mathbb{P}^{2n}$. We first note that $p_v|_X$ is an immersion if and only if $v \notin T_xX$ for all $x \in X$. Let $\mathbb{P}TX$ be the projectivization of the tangent bundle TX, which has [Sri91]dimension $2n-1$. Consider the map

$$\eta\colon \mathbb{P}TX \to \mathbb{P}^{2n} \quad \text{where } \eta(v) \in \mathbb{P}^{2n} \text{ is the line } v \subset T_xX \text{ viewed in } \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}\,.$$

Now, for $v \in \mathbb{P}^{2n}$ we clearly have that $v \notin T_xX$ for all $x \in X$ if and only if v lies in the complement of $\eta(\mathbb{P}TX)$.

Let $V \subseteq TX$ be the kernel of the differential $D(p_l|_X)\colon TX \to T\mathbb{C}^l$. Clearly, we have $\eta(\mathbb{P}V) \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$. It follows for $v \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$ that $p_v|_X$ is an immersion if and only if $v \notin \eta(\mathbb{P}V)$. Therefore, it is enough to show that $\dim \mathbb{P}V < 2n-l$.

For all $x \in X_i = X_i(p_l|_X)$, the fiber $V_x = \ker D_x(p_l|_X)$ has dimension $n-i$. In particular, $\mathbb{P}V|_{X_n}$ is empty. For all $0 \leqslant i < n$, we have

$$\dim V|_{X_i} \leqslant \max_{x \in X_i} \dim V_x + \dim X_i \leqslant (n-i) + (n+i-l) = 2n-l\,,$$

which implies $\dim \mathbb{P}V|_{X_i} < 2n-l$. Hence, $\dim \mathbb{P}V < 2n-l$ and the result follows. □

3.4. **2-transversal maps.** Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ be a smooth n-dimensional closed subvariety.

Lemma 3.14. *Let $0 \leqslant l < n$. If $p_l|X$ is transversal, then $p_v|_X$ is 2-transversal for generic $v \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2n}$.*

Lemma 3.15. *Let $n \leqslant l \leqslant 2n-1$ and assume that $p_l|_X$ is proper and transversal. Then there exists an algebraic automorphism φ of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ with the following properties: it fixes the last l coordinates and, for generic v in $\mathbb{P}^{2n-l} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2n}$, $p_v \circ \varphi|_X$ is 2-transversal.*

Remark 3.16. The conclusion of Lemma 3.15 is in general false if we choose $\varphi = \mathrm{id}$. This can be seen by the following simple example: Let (x,y,z) be a coordinate system of $\mathbb{C}^3$ and let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ be the union of three disjoint copies of the line $\mathbb{C}$ given by $\{z+y=0, x=1\}$, $\{x=y=0\}$ and $\{z-y=0, x=-1\}$. Let $p_1\colon \mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$ be given by $p_1(x,y,z) = z$. Thus, $p_1|_X\colon X \to \mathbb{C}$ is a trivial covering and in particular it is proper and transversal. However, $p_v|_X$ is 2-transversal if and only if $v = (0:1:0) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

For the proof of Lemma 3.14 and Lemma 3.15, we consider the map

$$\chi\colon X^3 \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}\,,\ (x,y,z) \mapsto (y-x, z-x)$$

and its composition with $\pi \times \pi$

$$\overline{\chi} = (\pi \times \pi) \circ \chi\colon \{\,(x,y,z) \in X^3 \mid x \neq y \neq z \neq x\,\} \to \mathbb{P}^{2n} \times \mathbb{P}^{2n}$$

where $\pi\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}\backslash\{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^{2n}$ is the canonical projection. For $v \in \mathbb{P}^{2n}$, note that all fibers of $p_v|_X$ have at most two points if and only if $(v,v) \notin \mathrm{im}(\overline{\chi})$.

Proof of Lemma 3.14. By Lemma 3.4 there is a non-empty open set of directions U in $\mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$ such that $p_v|_X$ is transversal for v in U. We calculate that at all points of $U \times U$ the map $\overline{\chi}$ is transversal to the diagonal Δ of $\mathbb{P}^{2n} \times \mathbb{P}^{2n}$; this lengthy calculation is provided in the Appendix, see Lemma A.4. Hence, at every point of $U \times U$ the intersection $\operatorname{im} \overline{\chi} \cap \Delta$ has local dimension less than or equal to $3n + 2n - 4n = n$ (see Lemma A.5 in the Appendix). This shows that $p_v|_X$ is 2-transversal for generic v in $\mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$ since $0 \leqslant l < n$. □

Proof of Lemma 3.15. We claim, that $\overline{\chi}^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^{2n-l} \times \mathbb{P}^{2n-l})$ has dimension $\leqslant 2n - l$. Let $q_l \colon X \to \mathbb{C}^l$ be the restriction of $p_l \colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^l$ to X. Recall, that $\psi_{q_l} \colon X^2 \to \mathbb{C}^l$ is defined as $\psi_{q_l}(x, y) = q_l(y) - q_l(x)$ and consider the map

$$\operatorname{pr} \colon \overline{\chi}^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^{2n-l} \times \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}) \to (\psi_{q_l})^{-1}(0) \backslash \Delta_X \,, \;\; (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y)$$

where Δ_X denotes the diagonal in $X \times X$. As q_l is transversal, it follows that 0 is a regular value of $\psi_{q_l}|_{X^2 \backslash \Delta_X}$ and thus we get $\dim(\psi_{q_l})^{-1}(0) \backslash \Delta_X \leqslant 2n - l$. Every fiber $\operatorname{pr}^{-1}(x, y) = \{(x, y, z) | q_l(z) = q_l(x)\}$ is finite, since q_l is proper. Hence, the claim follows.

Now, let $V_1, \ldots, V_r \subseteq \overline{\chi}^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^{2n-l} \times \mathbb{P}^{2n-l})$ be the irreducible components. After applying an algebraic shear of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ that fixes the last l coordinates, we can assume for all i, that $\overline{\chi}(V_i)$ has points outside the diagonal Δ_{2n-l} in $\mathbb{P}^{2n-l} \times \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$. In summary

$$\Delta_{2n-l} \backslash \overline{\overline{\chi}(\overline{\chi}^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^{2n-l} \times \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}))}$$

is a non-empty open subset of Δ_{2n-l}. Therefore, for generic $v \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$, all fibers of $p_v|_X$ contain at most two points. Since $p_l|_X$ is transversal, $p_v|_X$ is transversal for generic v in $\mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$, by Lemma 3.4. This implies the statement. □

4. Proof of the main theorem

In this section we prove that any two algebraic embeddings f, g of a smooth n-dimensional variety X in $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ are holomorphically equivalent.

As outlined in Section 2 we construct inductively embeddings $f = f_0$, f_1, $\ldots$, f_{2n}, $f_{2n+1} = g$ interpolating f and g. The embedding f_l is constructed to be holomorphically equivalent to f_{l-1} and to have the property that the last l coordinates are $r_l \circ g$ for some linear projection $r_l \colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^l$. To define these projections r_l, we will choose a flag $G = (0 \subset W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_{2n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ and set

$$r_l = p_{W_{2n+1-l}} \colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} / W_{2n+1-l} = \mathbb{C}^l \,.$$

Afterwards we construct the f_l inductively changing G generically in the process.

In a first subsection we define the flag G. Then we construct f_1 and prove the holomorphic equivalence of f_1 and f. In the next subsection we provide the inductive construction of f_l using G and we prove that $f_1, \ldots, f_{2n}$ are holomorphically equivalent. In the last subsection, we prove the holomorphic equivalence of f_{2n} and g.

4.1. **The flag G.** By Corollary 3.7, we can assume that there exists a non-empty open set O_{trans} in the flag variety F_{2n+1} such that $p_{W_1}\circ g, \dots, p_{W_{2n}\circ g}$ are transversal for all flags $(0 \subset W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_{2n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ in O_{trans}.

By Lemma 3.14, there exists a non-empty open set $O_{2-trans} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2n}$ with the property that for all $w \in O_{2-trans}$ the map $p_w \circ g$ is 2-transversal.

Lemma 3.9 provides the existence of a non-empty open set $O_{prop} \subseteq Gr_{n+1}^{2n+1}$ with the property that for all W in O_{prop} the map $p_W \circ g$ is proper.

For all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2n$, Lemma 3.11 provides the existence of an open set $O_{imm,k} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_k^{2n+1}$ with the property that for all W in $O_{imm,k}$ we have that $\dim X_i(p_W \circ g) \leqslant k + i - n - 1$ for all $0 \leqslant i < n$.

We choose a flag $G = (0 \subset W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_{2n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ such that

(1) the flag G is in O_{trans},
(2) the 1-dimensional subspace W_1 is an element of $O_{2-trans}$,
(3) the $(n+1)$-dimensional subspace W_{n+1} is an element of O_{prop},
(4) for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2n$, the k-dimensional subspace W_k is an element of $O_{imm,k}$.

Note that a generic flag in F_{2n+1} satisfies properties (1)-(4).

4.2. **Construction of f_1.** We choose $v_1 \in \mathbb{P}^{2n}$ such that $p_{v_1}\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}/v_1$ is a good projection for f, which is possible by Corollary 3.8 (properness), Remark 3.13 (immersion) and Lemma 3.14 (2-transversality). Changing G generically we can assume that $r_1 \circ g(x) \neq r_1 \circ g(y)$ for all double points (x, y) of $p_{v_1} \circ f$. Hence, the map

$$f_1 = (p_{v_1} \circ f, r_1 \circ g)\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}/v_1 \times \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}/W_{2n} = \mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}$$

is an algebraic embedding, which is holomorphically equivalent to $f_0 = f$ by Proposition 2.1.

4.3. **Construction of $f_2, \dots, f_{2n}$.** Let $1 \leqslant l \leqslant 2n-1$ and assume $f_l\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1-l} \times \mathbb{C}^l$ is defined and the last l coordinate functions equal $r_l \circ g$. Let us now inductively define the embedding f_{l+1} changing the flag G in the process and let us prove the holomorphic equivalence of f_{l+1} and f_l for $1 \leqslant l \leqslant 2n-1$.

We try to find $v_{l+1} \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$ such that the projection

$$p_{v_{l+1}}\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}/v_{l+1} = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$$

is a good projection for f_l. We claim that this is possible if we compose f_l with some algebraic automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ that fixes the last l coordinate functions of f_l. Assuming this claim, one can change $G = (0 \subset W_1 \subset \ldots \subset W_{2n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ generically such that the subspaces $W_{2n+1-l} \subset \cdots \subset W_{2n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ do not change (i.e. $r_1, \dots, r_l$ are still the same), and $r_{l+1} \circ g$ separates the double points of $p_{v_{l+1}} \circ f_l$. Let $e\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a surjective linear function such that r_{l+1} equals $(r_l, e)\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^l \times \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^{l+1}$. Now, Proposition 2.1 shows that f_l is holomorphically equivalent to

$$f_{l+1} = (p_{v_{l+1}} \circ f_l, e \circ g)\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}.$$

As by construction the last l coordinate functions of f_l and $p_{v_{l+1}} \circ f_l$ are the same, it follows that the last $l+1$ coordinate functions of f_{l+1} equal $r_{l+1} \circ g$.

Let us prove the existence of $v_{l+1} \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$. In fact, we prove that there exists an algebraic automorphism φ of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ that fixes the last l coordinates,

with the following property: for generic $v_{l+1} \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$ the map $p_{v_{l+1}}$ is a good projection for $\varphi \circ f_l$, i.e. it is 2-transversal, proper and immersive.

2-transversal: If $l < n$, then for generic $v_{l+1} \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$ the map $p_{v_{l+1}} \circ f_l$ is 2-transversal by Lemma 3.14. If $l \geqslant n$, then, by applying Lemma 3.15 to the embedding f_l (properties (1) and (3) of G imply that the assumptions of Lemma 3.15 are satisfied), we find an automorphism φ of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ with the following properties: φ fixes the last l coordinates and after replacing f_l by $\varphi \circ f_l$ the map $p_{v_{l+1}} \circ f_l$ is 2-transversal, for generic $v_{l+1} \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$.

Proper: If $l \leqslant n$, Corollary 3.8 implies that $p_{v_{l+1}} \circ f_l$ is proper for generic $v_{l+1} \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$. If $l > n$, then $r_n \circ g$ is proper by (3); and so of course, $p_{v_{l+1}} \circ f_l$ is proper for all $v_{l+1} \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$.

Immersive: By applying Lemma 3.12 to the embedding f_l (the assumptions of Lemma 3.12 are satisfied by (4)), we see that the map $p_{v_{l+1}} \circ f_l$ is immersive, for generic $v_{l+1} \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-l}$.

4.4. **Holomorphic equivalence of f_{2n} and g.** By construction, the last $2n$ coordinate functions of f_{2n} equal $r_{2n} \circ g$. The map $r_{2n} \circ g$ is immersive, proper and 2-transversal due to properties (4), (3) and (2) of G, respectively. Thus, f_{2n} and g are holomorphically equivalent by Proposition 2.1.

Appendix

Lemma A.1. *Let X be a smooth variety such that every connected component has dimension $\leqslant n$ and let $f\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ be an algebraic embedding. For $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, denote by X_i the set of points x in X such that X is i-dimensional at x. Then there exists an algebraic embedding*

$$F\colon \coprod_{i=0}^{n} X_i \times \mathbb{C}^{n-i} \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$$

that restricts on $\coprod_{i=0}^{n} X_i \times \{0\} = X$ to f.

Proof. Let $X' \subseteq X$ be the set of points where X is not n-dimensional. For generic $v \in \mathbb{P}^{2n}$, the composition

$$X' \xrightarrow{f|_{X'}} \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{p_v} \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}/v = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$$

is an embedding by [Sri91, Theorem 1']. Since the image of the map

$$X' \times X_n \to \mathbb{P}^{2n}\,,\ (x', x) \mapsto [f(x') - f(x)]$$

has dimension $\leqslant 2n-1$, for generic $v \in \mathbb{P}^{2n}$, the two sets $p_v(f(X'))$ and $p_v(f(X_n))$ are disjoint in $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. In summary, there exists $w \in \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \backslash \{0\}$ such that

$$X' \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}\,,\ (x', t) \mapsto f(x') + tw$$

is a closed embedding and the image does not intersect $f(X_n)$. By a repeated application of this argument, we get our embedding F. □

Lemma A.2. *Let $m \geqslant 2$ be an integer, let $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{C}^m$ be distinct points and let $A_1, A_2 \in \mathrm{SL}_m$. Then there exists an algebraic automorphism φ of $\mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\varphi(p_i) = p_i$ and $D_{p_i}\varphi = A_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. In fact, φ can be chosen to be a composition of algebraic shears and linear maps.*

Proof. We can assume that $p_1 = (0, \dots, 0)$, $p_2 = (1, \dots, 1)$. For $i \neq j$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the algebraic shear

$$\psi \colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^m\,,\ (x_1, \dots, x_m) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + \lambda(x_j^3 - x_j^2), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m)$$

fixes p_1 and p_2 and satisfies the following: $D_{p_1}\psi$ is the identity matrix and $D_{p_2}\psi$ is the elementary matrix with entry $(D_{p_2}\psi)_{ij}$ equal to λ and all other entries the same as the identity matrix. As every matrix in SL_m is the product of elementary matrices, we can construct our automorphism φ by composing automorphisms of the form ψ and linear automorphisms that exchange p_1 and p_2. □

Lemma A.3. *Let $f \colon X \to Y$ be a morphism of algebraic varieties. Then there exists a dense open set $U \subseteq Y$, such that for all $x \in f^{-1}(U)$, the differential $D_x f \colon T_x X \to T_{f(x)} Y$ is surjective.*

Proof. If X is smooth, then the result follows from the Generic Smoothness Theorem (see [Har77, Corollary 10.7]). The general case follows from this result, by using the fact that we can decompose X into finitely many smooth locally closed disjoint subvarieties. □

Lemma A.4. *Let the notation be as in the proof of Lemma 3.14. Then the map $\overline{\chi}$ is transversal to Δ at all points of $U \times U$.*

Proof. Let $(x, y, z) \in X^3$ with $\overline{\chi}(x, y, z) \in \Delta \cap (U \times U)$. We show that $\overline{\chi}$ is transversal to Δ at (x, y, z), i.e.

$$\operatorname{im} D_{(x,y,z)}\overline{\chi} + T_{\overline{\chi}(x,y,z)}\Delta = T_{\overline{\chi}(x,y,z)}\mathbb{P}^{2n} \times \mathbb{P}^{2n}\,.$$

After a linear change of coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ we may assume that

$$y - x = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad z - x = (\lambda, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for some λ in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0, 1\}$. Locally around the points $y - x$ and $z - x$ the canonical projection $\pi \colon \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}\backslash\{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^{2n}$ looks the same as

$$p \colon \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^{2n} \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}\,,\ (x_0, \dots, x_{2n}) \mapsto \left(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_{2n}}{x_0}\right).$$

More precisely, the open embedding

$$g \colon \mathbb{C}^{2n} \to \mathbb{P}^{2n}\,,\ (x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) \mapsto (1 : x_1 : \cdots : x_{2n})$$

satisfies $\pi = g \circ p$ whenever p is defined. In particular, $\overline{\chi}$ is transversal to Δ at (x, y, z) if and only if the (rational) map

$$\widetilde{\chi} \colon X^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}\,,\ (a, b, c) \mapsto (p(b - a), p(c - a)).$$

is transversal to the diagonal $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ at (x, y, z) (note that $\widetilde{\chi}$ is defined at (x, y, z)). The differential of $\widetilde{\chi}$ at (x, y, z) is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -D_x h & D_y h & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\lambda} D_x h & 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda} D_z h \end{pmatrix} : T_x X \times T_y X \times T_z X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}\,,$$

where $h \colon X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ denotes the map obtained from the fixed embedding $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ by forgetting the first coordinate. Hence, the linear subspace

$$\operatorname{im} D_{(x,y,z)}\widetilde{\chi} + T_{\widetilde{\chi}(x,y,z)}\Delta_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}}$$

of $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is equal to

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (D_y h)b - (D_x h)a + u \\ \frac{1}{\lambda}(D_z h)c - \frac{1}{\lambda}(D_x h)a + u \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; (a,b,c) \in T_{(x,y,z)}X^3\,,\ u \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} \right\}.$$

Changing basis in $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ by subtracting the first $2n$ coordinates from the last $2n$ coordinates yields

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (D_y h)b - (D_x h)a + u \\ \frac{1}{\lambda}(D_z h)c - \frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}(D_x h)a - (D_y h)b \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; (a,b,c) \in T_{(x,y,z)}X^3\,,\ u \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} \right\}.$$

This last subspace is $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ due to

$$(*) \quad \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda}(D_z h)c - \frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}(D_x h)a - (D_y h)b \;\middle|\; (a,b,c) \in T_{(x,y,z)}X^3 \right\} = \mathbb{C}^{2n}.$$

The equality $(*)$ follows from the fact that

$$D_y h - D_x h \colon T_x X \times T_y X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad D_z h - D_x h \colon T_x X \times T_z X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$$

are surjective, which in turn follows from the fact that $p_{\pi(y-x)}|_X$ and $p_{\pi(z-x)}|_X$ are transversal (here we use the fact that $\overline{\chi}(x,y,z)$ is in $U \times U$). More precisely, to prove $(*)$ let w be in $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. There exist a_1, a_2 in $T_x X$, $\tilde{b}$ in $T_y X$ and $\tilde{c}$ in $T_z X$ such that

$$(D_z h)\tilde{c} - (D_x h)a_1 = w = (D_y h)\tilde{b} - (D_x h)a_2\,.$$

By setting

$$c = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\tilde{c}\,, \quad b = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\tilde{b} \quad \text{and} \quad a = \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2}a_1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{(1-\lambda)^2}a_2\,,$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}(D_z h)c - \frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}(D_x h)a - (D_y h)b = w\,.$$

Hence, $\widetilde{\chi}$ is transversal to the diagonal of $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ at (x,y,z); and so, $\overline{\chi}$ is transversal to Δ at (x,y,z). □

Lemma A.5. *Let $f \colon X \to Y$ be a morphism of algebraic varieties. If Z is a closed subvariety of Y such that f is transversal to Z at z, then the local dimension of* $\operatorname{im} f \cap Z$ *at z is smaller than or equal to*

$$\max_{x \in f^{-1}(z)} \dim T_x X + \dim T_z Z - \dim T_z Y\,.$$

Proof. Let $x \in f^{-1}(z)$. Since f is transversal to Z at z, the differential $D_x f$ induces an isomorphism

$$T_x X / (D_x f)^{-1}(T_z Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} T_z Y / T_z Z\,.$$

Since $T_x f^{-1}(Z)$ lies in $(D_x f)^{-1}(T_z Z)$, we get the following inequality

$$\dim T_x f^{-1}(Z) \leqslant \dim T_x X - \dim T_z Y + \dim T_z Z\,.$$

And so the statement follows. □

References

[AM75] Shreeram S. Abhyankar and Tzuong Tsieng Moh, *Embeddings of the line in the plane*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **276** (1975), 148–166. MR 0379502 (52 #407)

[BR91] S. M. Bhatwadekar and A. Roy, *Some results on embedding of a line in* 3*-space*, J. Algebra **142** (1991), no. 1, 101–109. MR 1125207 (92i:14015)

[Buz98] Gregery T. Buzzard, *Kupka-Smale theorem for automorphisms of* $\mathbf{C}^n$, Duke Math. J. **93** (1998), no. 3, 487–503. MR 1626731 (99g:32046)

[Car53] Henri Cartan, *Variétés analytiques complexes et cohomologie*, Colloque sur les fonctions de plusieurs variables, tenu à Bruxelles, 1953, Georges Thone, Liège; Masson & Cie, Paris, 1953, pp. 41–55. MR 0064154 (16,235a)

[Chi89] E. M. Chirka, *Complex analytic sets*, Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), vol. 46, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1989, Translated from the Russian by R. A. M. Hoksbergen. MR 1111477 (92b:32016)

[Cho49] Wei-Liang Chow, *On compact complex analytic varieties*, Amer. J. Math. **71** (1949), 893–914. MR 0033093 (11,389f)

[CNKR09] Pierrette Cassou-Nogues, Mariusz Koras, and Peter Russell, *Closed embeddings of* $\mathbb{C}^*$ *in* $\mathbb{C}^2$*. I*, J. Algebra **322** (2009), no. 9, 2950–3002. MR 2567406 (2011g:14125)

[FGR96] Franc Forstneric, Josip Globevnik, and Jean-Pierre Rosay, *Nonstraightenable complex lines in* $\mathbf{C}^2$, Ark. Mat. **34** (1996), no. 1, 97–101. MR 1396625 (97b:32033)

[For99] Franc Forstnerič, *Interpolation by holomorphic automorphisms and embeddings in* $\mathbf{C}^n$, J. Geom. Anal. **9** (1999), no. 1, 93–117. MR 1760722 (2001g:32040)

[For11] ———, *Stein manifolds and holomorphic mappings*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 56, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011, The homotopy principle in complex analysis. MR 2975791

[Har77] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR 0463157 (57 #3116)

[Kal92] Shulim Kaliman, *Isotopic embeddings of affine algebraic varieties into* $\mathbf{C}^n$, The Madison Symposium on Complex Analysis (Madison, WI, 1991), Contemp. Math., vol. 137, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992, pp. 291–295. MR 1190990 (94a:14011)

[Kal13] Shulim Kaliman, *Analytic extensions of algebraic isomorphisms*, Sept. 2013, http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.3791.

[KPR14] Mariusz Koras, Karol Palka, and Peter Russell, *The geometry of sporadic* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-embeddings into* $\mathbb{C}^2$, May 2014, http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.6872.

[Kra96] Hanspeter Kraft, *Challenging problems on affine* n*-space*, Astérisque (1996), no. 237, Exp. No. 802, 5, 295–317, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1994/95. MR 1423629 (97m:14042)

[Neu89] Walter D. Neumann, *Complex algebraic plane curves via their links at infinity*, Invent. Math. **98** (1989), no. 3, 445–489. MR 1022302 (91c:57014)

[Rem57] Reinhold Remmert, *Holomorphe und meromorphe Abbildungen komplexer Räume*, Math. Ann. **133** (1957), 328–370. MR 0092996 (19,1193d)

[Sha92] Anant R. Shastri, *Polynomial representations of knots*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **44** (1992), no. 1, 11–17. MR 1145717 (92k:57016)

[Sri91] V. Srinivas, *On the embedding dimension of an affine variety*, Math. Ann. **289** (1991), no. 1, 125–132. MR 1087241 (92a:14013)

[Suz74] Masakazu Suzuki, *Propriétés topologiques des polynômes de deux variables complexes, et automorphismes algébriques de l'espace* $\mathbf{C}^2$, J. Math. Soc. Japan **26** (1974), 241–257. MR 0338423 (49 #3188)

[vdE04] Arno van den Essen, *Around the Abhyankar-Moh theorem*, Algebra, arithmetic and geometry with applications (West Lafayette, IN, 2000), Springer, Berlin, 2004, pp. 283–294. MR 2037095 (2004m:14129)

Boston College, Department of Mathematics, Carney Hall, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467, United States
E-mail address: peter.feller@alumni.unibe.ch

Jacobs University Bremen gGmbH, School of Engineering and Science, Department of Mathematics, Campus Ring 1, 28759 Bremen, Germany
E-mail address: immanuel.e.stampfli@gmail.com